

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau(43) International Publication Date
24 April 2003 (24.04.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 03/034408 A2(51) International Patent Classification⁷: G11B

(21) International Application Number: PCT/IB02/04261

(22) International Filing Date: 16 October 2002 (16.10.2002)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data: 09/982,573 18 October 2001 (18.10.2001) US

(71) Applicant: NOKIA CORPORATION [FI/FI]; Keilalahdentie 4, FIN-02150 Espo (FI).

(71) Applicant (for LC only): NOKIA INC. [US/US]; 6000 Connection Drive, Irving, TX 75039 (US).

(72) Inventors: ALVE, Jukka; Ida Aalbergin tie 3 a I 14, FIN-00400 Helsinki (FI). CHIU, Peter, K.; 12-603

Mountain Laurels Drive, Nashua, NH 03062 (US). YAN, Zheng; Kirjanpitäjäntie 4A 8, FIN-02770 Espoo (FI). HIETASARKA, Juha; Katajakuja 2, FIN-86690 Karsikas (FI).


(74) Agent: FILL, Peter, N.; Morgan & Finnegan, L.L.P., 345 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10154 (US).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CONTROLLED COPYING AND MOVING OF CONTENT BETWEEN DEVICES AND DOMAINS BASED ON CONDITIONAL ENCRYPTION OF CONTENT KEY DEPENDING ON USAGE STATE



(57) **Abstract:** A system and method is disclosed for allowing content providers to protect against widespread copying of their content, while enabling them to give their customers more freedom in the way they use the content. In accordance with one embodiment, content providers identify their content as protected by watermarking the content. Consumers use compliant devices to access protected content. All of a user's compliant devices, or all of a family's devices, can be organized into an authorized domain. This authorized domain is used by content providers to create a logical boundary in which they can allow users increased freedom to use their content.

WO 03/034408 A2



ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

Published:

- *without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report*

**SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CONTROLLED COPYING AND MOVING OF
CONTENT BETWEEN DEVICES AND DOMAINS BASED ON CONDITIONAL
ENCRYPTION OF CONTENT KEY DEPENDING ON USAGE STATE**

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In recent years the amount of information available in digital form has grown significantly. Digital data, which is easily reproduced and distributed, but retains superior quality, has been extremely beneficial to information and media content providers. The 10 advantages for content providers, however, are not absolute. The same factors that make digital data attractive as a distribution format, i.e. high quality, easy reproduction and easy distribution, apply equally to pirates who make and distribute unlicensed copies of the data. Furthermore, with access to distribution mediums like the Internet millions of unlicensed, pirated, copies can be created effortlessly. This type of unlicensed copying is already widespread in the music 15 industry with users of peer-to-peer file sharing services, like Gnutella, and costs the music industry millions in potential revenues.

Copy protection techniques exist in the prior art to address these issues. For example, the content can be tied to the user's device by encrypting the content with a key unique to the device. This approach, however, severely limits what valid licensed users can do with 20 their content. Consumers expect to be able to have some freedom in the way they use their content. They expect to be able to transfer the content to other devices they use; and, they expect to be able to make back-up copies to protect their content in the event of a hardware failure. Purchasers of music, for example, expect to be able to listen to the music they purchase at home, on their car stereo, and on portable audio devices. Accordingly, overly restrictive systems that 25 prevent these types of uses are unlikely to be accepted in the marketplace.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The above identified problems are solved and a technical advance is achieved in the art by providing a system and method for the controlled copying and moving of content between devices and domains.

5 An exemplary method includes receiving content at a user's device. The received content is encrypted with a content key. The content key is protected by encrypting it with a domain key. A user's compliant devices, or a family's compliant devices, can be organized into an authorized domain. All the devices in an authorized domain would have the ability to decrypt the encrypted content key. A user can freely send the encrypted content and the encrypted content key to other devices in the domain. At the receiving device, the content key is decrypted to its clear form. The clear content key is then available to decrypt the content. This assures 10 content providers that their content will not be subject to widespread piracy because only devices within the user's domain can decrypt content keys encrypted with the domain key.

Another aspect of the present invention would ensure backwards compatibility by 15 letting content providers distinguish protected content from unprotected or legacy content. This would be accomplished by applying a digital watermark to the protected content through the use of any of a number of known techniques, such as those provided by the Digimarc corporation. Watermarks are embedded into the content and can be made to be perceptible or imperceptible to users. Authorized devices can remove perceptible watermarks to ensure the content is 20 unobstructed when rendered. Digital watermarks have the advantage of being robust meaning that once embedded it is difficult to remove them from the content even if the content is translated to other formats, e.g. if the content is printed or translated into an analog format.

Where watermarks are employed, a device receiving the content would check for the watermark. Content that is watermarked is treated as protected content and undergoes the protection mechanisms described herein. Content that is not watermarked is treated as unprotected content. As such, no encryption is applied to the content and transfer of the content 5 to other domains would be successful.

Another embodiment of the present invention allows content providers to include information with the content dictating the ways consumers may use the content within their domain. For example, a digital video broadcast (DVB) could include usage state information in 10 a program's service information (SI) table. The usage state information is read by the compliant device and tells it how the consumer can use the content. For example, the usage state might let the consumer move the program from one device to another but not copy the program. Or, the usage state information could dictate no copying or moving is allowed.

Where usage states are employed, devices receiving content check for a usage state. If any usage restriction is applied, i.e. the usage state does not indicate usage as 15 unrestricted, the content key is encrypted with a device key. Encryption with the device key ensures that only the receiving device can decrypt the content key. Accordingly, the receiving device has the ability to check that the usage restraints are satisfied before the content is used.

In a further embodiment watermarks and usage states can be applied in combination to provide additional security. In this embodiment a content ID can be incorporated 20 into the watermark. The same content ID is also associated with the usage state, thereby uniquely linking the watermarked content to the specific usage state. This approach has the advantage of ensuring that the system cannot be circumvented by attempts to associate different, less restrictive, usage states with the watermarked content.

In another embodiment of the invention broader freedom for the users is allowed by regulating how content is used outside the authorized domain. A domain traversal flag is included with the content in the same way disclosed for the usage state. The domain traversal flag indicates whether out of domain transfer of the content is allowed. A combination of the 5 usage state and the domain traversal flag is used to precisely dictate what can be done with the content inside and outside the domain.

Another embodiment of the present invention provides a method that allows the content provider, or content protection service provider, to know which content key will be used to encrypt the content without broadcasting the key itself. The content provider transmits a 10 content key seed with the content. The content key is then generated at the receiving device by operating on the content key seed with the device's domain key, which the content provider has a copy of. The content provider can recreate the content key, if it needs to, by using its copy of the same domain key to operate on the content key seed in the same way.

A multitude of interactions and business relationships are made possible by the 15 present invention. When the present invention is used for complex transactions between users, content providers, content creators, etc., a trust management provider may be employed. The trust management provider is a further aspect of the present invention that can align different trust infrastructures used in different authorized devices, facilitate the transfer of content between users of different content providers, or act as an intermediary to support novel business models. 20 It accomplishes this by providing a neutral trusted third party that can enforce the requirements of the content protection system.

Other and further aspects of the invention will become apparent during the course of the following description and by reference to the attached drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

Figure 1 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary embodiment of the present invention.

Figures 2a and 2b are an exemplary flow chart by which an authorized border device 5 receives content in the embodiment of Fig. 1.

Figures 3a and 3b are an exemplary flow chart by which an authorized device transfers content to another authorized device in the embodiment of Fig. 1.

Figures 4a and 4b are an exemplary flow chart by which an authorized device uses content in the embodiment of Fig. 1.

0 Figure 5 is a block diagram of an exemplary embodiment of the present invention employing a trust management provider.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The content protection system of the present invention provides a flexible means 5 for allowing content providers to dictate allowable uses of licensed content. It is built around a logical framework of authorized devices and authorized domains. Authorized devices are simply devices that contain the software and/or hardware necessary to comply with the disclosed system. Authorized domains are groups of authorized devices owned by a user. The inclusion of authorized domains in the content protection system of the present invention provides content 0 distributors with a reasonable boundary to limit users' freedom to use their content.

Fig. 1 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary embodiment of the present invention. In accordance with the present invention content providers 50 distribute content to consumers who receive the content on compliant authorized devices, like authorized device A

12, or on non-compliant legacy devices, like legacy device 20. The content providers can distribute their content in any number of ways such as via satellite transmission 51, the Internet 52 (via streaming or download), pre-recorded media 53 (like CD-ROMs), traditional broadcast 54, or the like.

5 Any device used to play or record content could be an authorized device. The only factor that distinguishes authorized devices from other devices is that authorized devices are designed or programmed to comply with the content protection system of the present invention. The devices would generally contain a CPU, RAM, long term memory, and a method for communicating with other devices. Examples of possible authorized devices include digital 0 video recorders (DVR), TV receivers, DVD players/recorders, personal computers, personal digital assistants, stereo receivers, CD players/recorders, minidisc players/recorders, DAT players/recorders, digital video cameras, etc.

A content recipient participating in the content protection system would have one or more authorized devices organized into an authorized domain. The authorized domain 5 represents some logical grouping of devices. The authorized domain might include all of an individual's devices, a household's devices, or a company's devices. The authorized domain could be any grouping of devices that would be convenient to the user, or it may be dictated by the party that manages the content protection system. Where the content protection system manager dictates what grouping of devices may be placed in an authorized domain, it may do so 0 by limiting the total number of devices included in a domain. Or, it may dictate the relationship between the owners of the devices in a domain, e.g. they must all live at the same address.

Still referring to Fig. 1, authorized domain 10 shows one possible arrangement of an authorized domain. Authorized domain 10 comprises five authorized devices, authorized

device A 12, authorized device B 13, authorized device C 14, authorized device D 15 and authorized device E 16. All of these devices are connected together via home network 11. In an advantageous embodiment, home network 11 would be a LAN connecting the various authorized devices using any known networking protocol and hardware interface, e.g. TCP/IP over a wired or 5 wireless Ethernet network. A full-fledged home network, however, is not required. The basic requirement is only that an authorized device in the authorized domain has a way to transfer files between itself and another authorized device. This mechanism for transferring files could be anything from a Universal Serial Bus (USB) connection to a simple floppy disk.

Authorized device A 12 and authorized device E 16 are border devices because 0 they can receive content from outside the authorized domain 10. And, if the domain traversal flag allows, they can transfer files out of the domain.

For example, authorized device A 12 might be a DVR that can receive DVB 5 television content from satellite 51. The DVR might also have Internet connectivity that gives it the ability to send recorded programs to other devices over the Internet. In such a scenario the user of authorized domain 10 could use authorized device A 12 to send a program he liked to his friend's digital TV receiver in authorized domain 40. Of course this would only work if the usage state and domain traversal flag set by the DVB broadcaster allowed this use.

The operation of the system is further demonstrated by showing how a person going on a trip might use the system. In this example, authorized device E 16 is a portable MP3 0 player and authorized device D 15 is a home stereo with a hard drive to store the user's MP3s. A user of the authorized domain 10 could, if allowed by the content provider, copy MP3 files from the stereo to the portable MP3 player using a short range wireless system like Bluetooth. She could then enjoy the music on the portable player while flying to her destination. Upon arrival,

if moves outside the authorized domain are allowed, she could move the music stored on the player to her rental car's stereo in authorized domain 30 for enjoyment while driving.

BACKWARD COMPATIBILITY

5 Backward compatibility is an important aspect in gaining acceptance for any new content protection scheme. Backward compatibility is important because of the countless number of non-compliant devices that are currently being used and the amount of time required to get a significant number of compliant devices into public use. Accordingly, few content providers will want to adopt a new system if it means they will have to limit their audience to the few 0 compliant devices that have been sold.

The present system could be implemented in a way that is not backward compatible. For example, content providers could distribute their content in encrypted form. Authorized border devices would have the required keys to decrypt the received content. Depending on the distribution method used, these keys could be generated every time content is transferred, which 5 would be an advantageous method for Internet downloads; or, they could be stored in the authorized device and refreshed from time to time in a secure way, which would be the most useful method for DVB. This option might even be preferable to some content providers who are willing to forego backward compatibility for tighter control of their content.

10 The invention can also be implemented in a manner that provides backward compatibility through the use of watermarking. This would allow the content provider to distribute information that both retains the prescribed use limitations in compliant systems and is usable in non-compliant legacy systems.

Watermarking is a system where information can be embedded into content without obscuring the content. The watermark applied to a piece of content can be as perceptible or imperceptible as the content provider wishes. Watermarking can accomplish this while remaining robust, i.e. the watermark cannot be removed from the content because it is part of the content.

The robust nature of watermarking makes it ideal for creating a backward compatible content protection system. Watermarks can be used to positively identify content that is protected under the content protection system of the present invention thereby distinguishing it from legacy or unprotected content. Without a robust way of identifying

protected content, pirates could strip the protection information from the content and thereby confuse authorized devices into treating protected content as unprotected or legacy content. In a system that didn't use watermarking, this could be accomplished by simply removing the usage state information and domain traversal flag from the content. With a watermark to identify protected content, an authorized device would still recognize the content as protected even if the information identifying the level of protection has been removed. In such a case the authorized device can then assume the content has been tampered with and apply the strictest available protection.

As noted above, the watermarked content is not completely obscured and remains usable on legacy devices. An example of a crude form of visual watermarking would be to place a large opaque mark directly over the content. Presumably, authorized devices would be able to remove this mark, through the use of watermark key, when rendering the content. Such a mark would severely limit the value of the content for legacy devices. The content provider can choose the level of obstruction it desires by using smaller and/or translucent marks. In deciding

on an appropriate level of obstruction, the content provider can balance its desire to protect its content against its need to serve legacy devices. This balance might take into account its desire to push consumers to upgrade to compliant devices, or the nature of the content being distributed.

5 In situations where parity between the content quality for compliant and legacy devices is required, steganography can be used to completely hide the watermark. Such a technique might be used for network television where there is a large audience with legacy devices who are unlikely to upgrade to the latest equipment.

In an advantageous embodiment of the present invention, the watermark will 10 contain a content ID uniquely identifying the content. This content ID can then be used with usage information associated with the content. In this way usage restrictions are securely tied to the content to which they apply. The content ID prevents users from avoiding the protection scheme by substituting different usage information to be used with the content. Such an attempt will fail because the substituted usage information will not have the correct content ID associated 15 with it. The content ID can be securely tied to the usage restriction through the use of hashing functions, digital signatures and/or any other known technique.

The addition of a content ID in the watermark and associating that same content ID with the usage information has the further advantage of ensuring that the usage information broadcast by the content providers remains diverse. Without this unique identifier for the usage 20 information, hashing functions applied to usage information associated with different content would return similar values. A party trying to circumvent the system could then learn the appropriate hash values for different usage rights and defeat thes protection.

OPERATION OF THE SYSTEM

The basic operation of the system of the present invention relies on cryptographic techniques to protect content on an authorized device. Protection begins by encrypting the content and creating a voucher associated with the content. The voucher holds the key to decrypt the content, the usage state information and the domain traversal flag. The usage state and the domain traversal flag dictate how the content may be used. Usage states can range from unrestricted, meaning any copy, move or use is allowed, to completely restricted, which would prevent copying, moving or using the content. The content encryption key stored in the voucher is protected so that pirates cannot misappropriate it and use it to create unlicensed versions of the content. Content key protection is accomplished by encrypting the key itself or the whole voucher. In an advantageous embodiment, only the content key is encrypted. The other elements of the voucher are protected from tampering, but remain readable. This can be accomplished, for example, by using a cryptographic hashing function and then signing the hash by encrypting it with the device's private key. Keeping portions of the voucher readable when the content is inaccessible has the advantage of providing users with information regarding why their attempt to use failed. In a superdistribution model the readable information could tell the user how to obtain a right to use the content. Additional encryption can be added to ensure higher levels of security.

Figures 2a and 2b show an exemplary method by which an authorized border

device receives content in the embodiment of Fig. 1. In block 200, the device receives content. In block 210, the device checks for a watermark that would identify the content as protected.

If there is no watermark, the device treats the content as unprotected or legacy content in block 212. This might include the creation of a voucher dictating that the usage state and domain traversal are completely unrestricted, but creation of a voucher is not strictly

necessary. Using a voucher for unprotected content would allow designers to remove watermark detection procedures from non-border devices. Rather than checking for watermarks, non-border devices could simply refuse any non-voucherized content.

If the content is watermarked, block 220 is executed and a content key is created 5 and the content is encrypted with it. This step of encryption can be accomplished by any known techniques, such as RC-5, IDEA, Blowfish, Cast-n, Misty, Skipjack, AES, 3-DES. To ensure efficient operation it will most likely be accomplished using a symmetric cryptographic algorithm, like AES. If the watermark is perceptible this step might also include removing the perceptible portion of the watermark. Alternatively, the watermark could be removed when the 10 content is rendered.

The creation of the content key can be accomplished by randomly generating the key or by using a content key seed transmitted with the content. Sending a content key seed with the content allows the content provider to know the content key that will be used to encrypt the content without broadcasting the content key itself. The content provider accomplishes this by 15 sending along with the content key seed a content ID. The content key seed and the content ID are associated with one another in a way known only by the content provider. Upon receipt of the content key seed an authorized device generates a content key by encrypting the content key seed with its domain key. After the content key is created the content key seed is discarded and no longer used by the receiving device. When the device creates a voucher associated with the 20 content it includes in the voucher the content ID and a domain ID identifying its domain. If the content provider ever needs the content key, it can use the content ID and domain ID contained in the voucher to look up the content key seed and the domain key. It can then perform the same operation performed by the authorized device to recreate the content key.

Giving the content provider the ability to recreate the content key is useful for at least three reasons. If the content key associated with the content is ever lost or destroyed, the content provider can recreate the key and create a new voucher for the content. If the content is transferred to a device where it cannot be used, the content provider could issue a valid voucher for the content. This is one way to enable superdistribution. Distributing the content key seed rather than the content key itself has the advantage of avoiding the need to protect the content key in transit, and creates an easy way to ensure that different domains have different content keys.

5 Block 230 checks the domain traversal flag to determine if domain traversal is allowed. If domain traversal is not allowed, meaning the information cannot be sent out of the authorized domain, the content key is encrypted with a domain key in block 235. The domain key is a key shared by all the devices in an authorized domain. Having the same domain key is the thing that defines the devices as being part of a single domain.

10 Block 240 checks the usage state information associated with the content. In most instances the usage state information will be transmitted with the content and will have an associated content ID, which will match a content ID contained in the watermark. The usage state information can have a variety of different settings, some possible usage states are:

15
20
25

- Unrestricted
- Copy X times
- Copy once
- Copy no more
- Copy never
- Copy never, move never

If the usage state is anything other than unrestricted, the content key is encrypted in block 245 using the public key of the receiving device. As is typical of public key cryptography systems, encryption with the public key of this device ensures that only the private key of this device can

decrypt the content key. Since the content will be useless unless this device decrypts the content key, this step ensures that the device will control any of the content. If the use is unrestricted this step is unnecessary.

An alternative to distributing the usage state with the content is to make the

5 information implicit. Under this system allowable uses of the content are added by the receiving device rather than transmitted with the content. This avoids the need to download usage state information with the content. This system, however, lacks the flexibility of the system described above because all content would have the same usage state information. This system could be made somewhat more flexible system by using a few different usage states that are applied based 0 on some other criteria. For example, in the DVB context different usage states could be applied based on the channel the program was broadcast on. In this scenario regular networks might have liberal usage states, but pay-per-view channels might apply the strictest available usage state.

Block 250 creates a voucher containing the encrypted content key, the usage state, 15 content ID and the domain traversal flag. For enhanced security the voucher can be protected to ensure that a pirate does not alter the usage state information. This could be accomplished through the use of a cryptographic hashing function and digital signature.

Finally, block 260 stores the voucher and encrypted content at the device.

A careful review of the process just described will show that the content key is 20 encrypted based on the type of protection asserted. If, for example, copying is unrestricted but transmission outside the domain is not allowed only the domain key will be used to encrypt the content key. Encrypting the content key based on the protection asserted simplifies the re-targeting of content to other devices. For example, re-targeting content that is usage unrestricted

and domain traversal forbidden would simply involve checking to see if the target device is within the domain and then sending the content and the voucher to the target device. No other operations are necessary. Even the step of checking whether the target device is in the domain could be omitted because an out of domain device would not have the requisite domain key so 5 the encrypted content would be unusable.

The situation just described is especially advantageous, because usage unrestricted and domain traversal forbidden is likely to be the prime setting chosen by content providers. In fact, it may be the only setting content providers would need implemented. This 0 level of protection strikes a balance between protecting content from widespread piracy and retaining a fairly unrestricted level of use for licensed customers.

Figures 3a and 3b are an exemplary flow chart by which an authorized device moves or copies previously received content to another authorized device in the embodiment of Fig. 1. In block 300, the transfer is initiated.

In block 310, the transferring device checks if the target device is within the 5 domain. If it is not, the domain traversal flag in the voucher is checked in block 312 to see if domain traversal is allowed. If it is not allowed, the request is refused in block 318. As one would imagine, blocks 310, 312 and 318 are not strictly required because even if an out of domain device receives the content it will not be able to use it without the domain traversal key. The only advantage of these steps is that they quickly avoid the rest of the procedure if domain 20 traversal is forbidden.

In block 320, the voucher usage state is checked. If usage is unrestricted, the process proceeds immediately in block 360 to transfer the voucher and the content. If the usage state indicates that usage is in some way restricted, the usage state is checked in block 330 to see

if the requested operation is allowed. If it is not allowed, the request is refused in block 335. If the requested operation is allowed, the content key must be re-targeted. In block 340, the content key is decrypted using the transferring device's private key. Then in block 350, the content key is encrypted using the target device's public key. This newly encrypted content key is used as 5 part of a re-targeted voucher to be sent to the new device.

In block 355, any necessary changes in the usage state information are made. For example, if the usage state was copy X times, where X is a positive integer, X is reduced by 1. If X was 1, the usage state becomes copy no more. Copy no more and copy never are essentially the same, except copy no more might be used to indicate that the content provider be contacted 0 to purchase additional usage privileges. The copy once state listed above is identical to copy X where X equals 1.

Block 355 is also the first place where the difference between copy and move becomes relevant. Copy indicates the creation of a duplicate of the file where the transferring and the target device retain a copy of the file. Conversely, move indicates that the transferring 15 device does not retain a copy of the file. Always allowing moves would be a way protected digital content could be made to comply with the first sale doctrine of copyright law.

With respect to step 355, if a move was requested, nothing would be done unless of course another usage control was implemented that restricted the number of moves (implementation of this control would be similar to copy X). If a copy is requested the voucher 20 retained on the transferring device must be updated as described above, and new usage state information must be created for the re-targeted voucher. The re-targeted voucher would probably be given a usage state of copy no more to avoid the proliferation of copies, but it could

also get a copy of the original's now updated usage state. The re-targeted voucher would usually have the same domain traversal flag as the original voucher.

Next in block 360, the content and voucher would be sent to the target device.

This is either the re-targeted voucher or a copy of the original voucher if the yes branch of block 5 320 was followed.

Finally, if it is determined in block 365 that the requested transfer was a move, then, in block 370 the content and voucher are erased, or otherwise made inaccessible or illegible, on the transferring device. Block 375 marks the end of the routine.

Figures 4a and 4b are an exemplary flow chart by which an authorized device

0 uses content in the embodiment of Fig. 1. The process begins in block 400 with a play request. In block 410, the usage state record in the voucher is checked. In blocks 420 and 425, play limits are enforced. This may simply require additional usage states dictating whether or not the content can be played, or how many times the content can be played. Obviously, blocks 420 and 425 are removed if no play restrictions are used. In block 430, the content key in the voucher is 5 decrypted with the device private key. This block, however, is only reached if the usage state is not unrestricted. Otherwise, the content key would not have been encrypted with the device's public key.

In block 440, the domain traversal flag is checked. If domain traversal is forbidden, the content key must be decrypted in block 450 with the domain key. The content key 10 is now clear and the content may be decrypted in block 460. Note, if the content is completely unprotected it would not be encrypted, so the content would not need to be decrypted. Finally, in block 470 the device renders the content.

Figure 5 is a block diagram depicting an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, similar to the embodiment of Fig. 1, but also including a trust management provider 500. The trust management provider facilitates some of the more complex transactions that are enabled by the present invention.

5 The present invention could be used as a comprehensive content protection scheme for any type of content or device. In this advantageous embodiment a multitude of content providers and device manufacturers would all implement the system. However, with a system so widespread the various different implementations of the content protection scheme might not all operate in the same way. In this scenario a trusted third party can be interposed in
10 the form of a trust management provider to ensure the various implementations remain interoperable.

In practice the trust management provider 500 can be implemented through the use of a server that can communicate with the requisite devices and which is programmed to enforce the rules of the system.

15 Referring to Fig. 5, the various authorized devices 12-16 in authorized domain 10 might all be manufactured by different entities. Accordingly, when a user of the domain adds a new device to the domain the trust management provider 500 can be consulted to certify that the new device meets the standards required by the system. This process could include
20 communicating with a third party that dictates the rules for domain creation for this user; e.g. a content provider. Alternatively, the trust management provider might control the entire process of joining devices into authorized domains and maintaining the created domains. In this role the trust management provider could also replace unusable content keys produced with content key

seeds, as described above. The trust management provider might also provide information to the other devices in the domain concerning the operation of the new device.

The trust management provider can also be used to facilitate inter-domain transfers, for example, between authorized domains 10 and 40. Authorized domains 10 and 40 could both contain devices that receive and store DVB content. However, if they receive their content from different providers, e.g. different cable companies, issues may arise from the transfer. The content providers might want assurances that content would be adequately protected in the other domain. The trust management provider could check the requirements of the content provider and then determine if the receiving domain meets them. Or, the content provider may require payment if the content is being transferred to the user of a different service. The trust management provider can also coordinate the payment, see below.

The use of a trust management provider can facilitate business transactions and usage-clearing involving protected content. For example, in a superdistribution model when content is sent from one user's domain to another's many payments may be generated. A concrete example will illustrate some possible payments and the utility of the trust management provider. User A downloads some music from a content provider over the Internet and pays for the use of the content. He then transfers a copy of the content to a friend User B in a different authorized domain. User B receives the content listens to sample and decides to purchase usage rights of his own. The trust management provider can ensure the following parties receive part of the payment: User A might get a portion for sending the content to User B, the content provider will get a portion, and the content creator will get a portion. The use of a trust management provider as a trusted neutral third party ensures that all such transactions are properly accounted for.

The many features and advantages of the present invention are apparent from the detailed specification, and thus, it is intended by the appended claims to cover all such features and advantages of the invention which fall within the true spirit and scope of the invention.

Furthermore, since numerous modifications and variations will readily occur to

5 those skilled in the art, it is not desired that the present invention be limited to the exact instruction and operation illustrated and described herein. Accordingly, all suitable modifications and equivalents that may be resorted to are intended to fall within the scope of the claims.

CLAIMS

1. A method of protecting content comprising:
 - receiving content at a device;
 - encrypting the content with a content key;
 - 5 encrypting the content key with a domain key; and
 - storing the encrypted content key and the encrypted content.
- 10 2. A method of protecting content comprising:
 - receiving content at a device;
 - encrypting the content with a content key;
 - encrypting the content key with a domain key; and
 - storing a voucher associated with the content;
 - wherein the voucher includes the encrypted content key and a usage state record.
- 15 3. The method according to claim 2 wherein the voucher also contains a domain traversal flag.
4. The method according to claim 2 wherein the content is encrypted before the content is received at the device.
- 20 5. A method of protecting content comprising:
 - receiving content at a device;

- receiving a usage state record associated with the content;
- receiving a domain traversal flag associated with the content;
- encrypting the content with a content key;
- encrypting the content key with a device key if the usage state record indicates that usage is not unrestricted;
- encrypting the content key with a domain key if the domain traversal flag indicates that domain traversal is forbidden; and
- storing a voucher associated with the content:
 - wherein the voucher contains the encrypted content key, the usage state record, and the domain traversal flag.

6. The method according to claim 5 further comprising:

protecting at least part of the voucher using at least one of the following:

- a cryptographic hashing function; or
- a digital signature.

7. A method of moving protected content within an authorized domain comprising:
 - transmitting encrypted content and a voucher associated with said encrypted content from a first device in the authorized domain to a second device in the authorized domain;
 - the voucher including an encrypted content key and a usage state record;

at the first device rendering any vouchers associated with said encrypted content unusable.

8. The method of claim 7 further comprising:

5 encrypting the entire voucher.

9. The method of claim 7 further comprising:

receiving said encrypted content and the voucher associated with that content in a second device in the authorized domain.

0

10. The method of claim 9 comprising:

decrypting the encrypted content key at the second device; and
using the decrypted content key to decrypt the encrypted content.

.5

11. A method for moving protected content from a first device in one authorized domain to a target device in a different authorized domain comprising:

checking a voucher associated with a piece of content;

the voucher including an encrypted content key, a usage state record and a domain traversal flag;

if the usage state record allows moving,

decrypting the encrypted content key with a device key; and

encrypting the decrypted content key with the public key of the target device;

20

replacing the original encrypted content key with the re-encrypted content key in the voucher;

transmitting encrypted content and the amended voucher to the target device; and

5 at the first device rendering any vouchers associated with the content unusable.

12. The method of claim 11 where the device key used to decrypt the encrypted content key is a private key of the first device.

0 13. The method of claim 11 further comprising:

decrypting the voucher received at the target device using a private key associated with the target device's public key;

15 decrypting the encrypted content using the decrypted content key from the voucher.

14. A method of copying protected content within an authorized domain to a target device within said authorized domain comprising:

20 at a first device within the authorized domain, checking a usage state record contained in a voucher associated with a piece of encrypted content; the voucher including a usage state record, and an encrypted content key; if the usage state record is not unrestricted and allows copying:

decrypting the encrypted content key with a device key;

re-encrypting the decrypted content key with a public key of the target device;
5 updating the usage state record ; and
storing the re-encrypted content key and the updated usage state record in
a re-targeted voucher; and
sending the encrypted content and the re-targeted voucher to the target device.

15. The method of claim 14 where the device key used to decrypt the encrypted content key is a private key of the first device.

0 16. The method of claim 14 further comprising:

receiving the encrypted content and re-targeted voucher at the target device;
decrypting the re-encrypted content key using a domain key;
decrypting the encrypted content with the content key.

15 17. The method of claim 16 further comprising:

decrypting the re-encrypted content key with a private key of the target device.

18. The method of claim 14 wherein the usage state record contains a budget of allowed 20 copies and further comprising reducing the budget of allowed copies.

19. A method for copying protected content from a device in a first authorized domain to a target device in a second authorized domain comprising:

in a first device within the first authorized domain, checking a usage state record contained in a voucher associated with a piece of encrypted content, wherein the voucher also includes an encrypted content key;

if the usage state record or a domain traversal flag in said voucher indicates that inter-domain copying is allowed,

5 decrypting the encrypted content key with a device key;

re-encrypting the decrypted content key with a public key from the target device;

updating the usage state;

10 storing the updated usage state and the re-encrypted content key in a re-targeted voucher; and

transmitting encrypted content and the re-targeted voucher to the target device.

15 20. The method of claim 19 where the device key used to decrypt the encrypted content key is a private key of the first device.

21. The method of claim 19 further comprising:

20 protecting at least part of the re-targeted voucher using at least one of the following:

a cryptographic hashing function; or

a digital signature.

22. The method of claim 19 wherein the usage state record contains a budget of allowed copies and further comprising:
reducing the budget of allowed copies.

5 23. A method of identifying protected content while maintaining backwards compatibility comprising:
receiving content;
checking if content is watermarked;
encrypting the content with a content key if the content is watermarked.

10 24. The method of claim 23 further comprising:
receiving usage information and an associated content ID;
checking the watermark to see if a content ID contained therein matches the content ID associated with the usage information;
treating the content as completely restricted if content ID associated with the usage information does not match the content ID contained in the watermark.

15 25. The method of claim 24 wherein the usage information includes a usage state record and a domain traversal flag.

20 26. The method of claim 23 further comprising:
removing the watermark from the content.

27. The method of claim 24 further comprising:

removing the watermark from the content.

28. A method of using protected content comprising:

5 decrypting an encrypted content key with a domain key;
decrypting an associated piece of content with the decrypted content key; and
rendering the decrypted content.

29. The method of claim 28 further comprising:

0 decrypting the encrypted content key with a private key.

30. A method of protecting content comprising:

5 receiving content at a device;
receiving a content key seed at the device;
creating a content key by operating on the content key seed with a domain key;
encrypting the content with the content key;
encrypting the content key with the domain key; and
storing the encrypted content key and the encrypted content.

20 31. The method of claim 30 further comprising:

receiving a content ID and usage information;
creating a voucher including the encrypted content key, the content ID, a domain

5 ID, and usage information.

32. The method of claim 31 further comprising:

protecting at least part of the voucher using at least one of the following:

10 a cryptographic hashing function; or

15 a digital signature.

20 33. The method of claim 30 where the act of operating on the content key seed with a

25 domain key is accomplished by encrypting the content key seed with the domain key.

30 34. A method of creating a content key comprising:

35 operating on a content key seed with a domain key;

40 35. The method of claim 34 further comprising:

45 receiving a content ID and a domain ID;

50 using the content ID to determine the content key seed;

55 using the domain ID to determine the domain key.

60 36. The method of claim 34 further comprising:

65 using a content ID to generate the content key seed.

70 37. A method for registering an authorized device in an authorized domain comprising:

75 transmitting information about the unregistered authorized device and the

authorized domain to a trust management provider;
receiving certification from the trust management provider to add said authorized device to the authorized domain as a registered device.

5 38. The method of claim 37 further comprising:

the trust management provider contacting a third party to obtain the requirements of the authorized domain.

10 39. The method of claim 37 further comprising:

receiving information about the unregistered unauthorized device at a registered authorized device already a part of the authorized domain.

15 40. A method of certifying the transfer of content out of an authorized device comprising:

receiving a request to transfer content from a first authorized device to a second authorized device;

contacting a trust management provider to verify the protection employed at the second authorized device;

if trust management provides authorization, transferring content.

20 41. The method of claim 40 further comprising:

the trust management provider contacting a third party to discern the protection required for the transfer to be authorized.

42. A method of providing payment in a superdistribution system comprising:

transferring content from a first device to a second device;
the second device contacting a trust management provider to purchase usage
rights for the content;
the trust management provider distributing proceeds from the purchase.

5

43. The method of claim 42 where more than one party receives proceeds from the
purchase.

0

44. The method of claim 42 where a content provider receives some portion of the
proceeds of the purchase.

45. The method of claim 42 where users of the first device receive some portion of the
proceeds of the purchase.

15

46. The method of claim 42 further comprising:

the trust management provider contacting the owner of the content to determine
the terms of the purchase.

20

47. A method of checking the integrity of a voucher comprising:

receiving the voucher at a first device from a second device;
computing a cryptographic hashing function over at least part of the voucher;
decrypting an encrypted hash value stored in the voucher with a public key of the

second device;

comparing the computed hash value with the stored hash value.

48. The method of claim 47 where if the computed hash value does not equal the stored
5 hash value, indicating that the voucher has been tampered with.

49. The method of claim 47 where the act of indicating the voucher has been tampered
with includes making the content unusable.

10 50. An article manufacture comprising:

a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:

receiving content at a device;

encrypting the content with a content key;

encrypting the content key with a domain key; and

storing the encrypted content key and the encrypted content.

15 51. An article of manufacture comprising:

a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:

receiving content at a device;

encrypting the content with a content key;

encrypting the content key with a domain key; and

storing a voucher associated with the content;

wherein the voucher includes the encrypted content key and a usage state record.

5 52. The article of manufacture of claim 51 wherein the voucher also contains a domain traversal flag.

10 53. An article of manufacture comprising:

a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:

receiving content at a device;

15 receiving a usage state record associated with the content;

receiving a domain traversal flag associated with the content;

encrypting the content with a content key;

20 encrypting the content key with a device key if the usage state record indicates that usage is not unrestricted;

25 encrypting the content key with a domain key if the domain traversal flag indicates that domain traversal is forbidden; and

30 storing a voucher associated with the content:

35 wherein the voucher contains the encrypted content key, the usage state record, and the domain traversal flag.

40 54. The computer readable medium of claim 53 further comprising instructions for:

protecting at least part of the voucher using at least one of the following:

a cryptographic hashing function; or

a digital signature.

55. An article of manufacture comprising:

a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:

transmitting encrypted content and a voucher associated with said encrypted content from a first device in an authorized domain to a second device in the authorized domain;

the voucher including an encrypted content key and a usage state record;

at the first device rendering any vouchers associated with said encrypted content unusable.

0

56. The computer readable medium of claim 55 further comprising instructions for:

encrypting the entire voucher.

15 57. An article of manufacture comprising:

a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:

on a first device checking a voucher associated with a piece of content;

the voucher including an encrypted content key, a usage state record and a domain traversal flag;

20 if the usage state record allows moving,

decrypting the encrypted content key with a device key; and

encrypting the decrypted content key with the public key of a target device;

replacing the original encrypted content key with the re-encrypted content key in the voucher;

transmitting encrypted content and the amended voucher to the target device; and

5 rendering any remaining vouchers associated with the content unusable.

58. The article of manufacture of claim 57 where the device key used to decrypt the encrypted content key is a private key of the first device.

10

59. An article of manufacture comprising:

a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:

15 checking a usage state record contained in a voucher associated with a piece of encrypted content;

the voucher including a usage state record, and an encrypted content key;

if the usage state record is not unrestricted and allows copying:

decrypting the encrypted content key with a device key;

re-encrypting the decrypted content key with a public key of a target device;

20 updating the usage state record ; and

storing the re-encrypted content key and the updated usage state record in a re-targeted voucher; and

sending the encrypted content and the re-targeted voucher to the target device.

60. The article of manufacture of claim 59 where the device key used to decrypt the encrypted content key is a private key of the first device.

5 61. The article of manufacture of claim 59 wherein the usage state record contains a budget of allowed copies and further comprising reducing the budget of allowed copies.

62. An article of manufacture comprising:

10 a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:

checking a usage state record contained in a voucher associated with a piece of encrypted content, wherein the voucher also includes an encrypted content key; if the usage state record or a domain traversal flag in said voucher indicates that inter-domain copying is allowed,

15 decrypting the encrypted content key with a device key;

re-encrypting the decrypted content key with a public key from a target device;

updating the usage state;

storing the updated usage state and the re-encrypted content key in a re-targeted voucher; and

20 transmitting encrypted content and the re-targeted voucher to the target device.

63. The article of manufacture of claim 62 where the device key used to decrypt the encrypted content key is a private key of the first device.

64. The computer readable medium of claim 62 further comprising instructions for:
protecting at least part of the re-targeted voucher using at least one of the
following:

- a cryptographic hashing function; or
- a digital signature.

65. The article of manufacture of claim 62 wherein the usage state record contains a budget of allowed copies and the computer readable medium further comprising instructions for: reducing the budget of allowed copies.

66 An article of manufacture comprising:

a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:
receiving content;
checking if content is watermarked;
encrypting the content with a content key if the content is watermarked.

67. The computer readable medium of claim 66 further comprising instructions for:
receiving usage information and an associated content ID;
checking the watermark to see if a content ID contained therein matches the

content ID associated with the usage information;
treating the content as completely restricted if content ID associated with the
usage information does not match the content ID contained in the watermark.

5 68. The article of manufacture of 67 wherein the usage information includes a usage state
record and a domain traversal flag.

69. The computer readable medium of claim 66 further comprising instructions for:
removing the watermark from the content.

0 70. The computer readable medium of claim 67 further comprising instructions for:
removing the watermark from the content.

71. An article of manufacture comprising:
15 a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:
decrypting an encrypted content key with a domain key;
decrypting an associated piece of content with the decrypted content key; and
rendering the decrypted content.

20 72. The computer readable medium of claim 71 further comprising instructions for:
decrypting the encrypted content key with a private key.

73. An article of manufacture comprising:

a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:
receiving content at a device;
receiving a content key seed at the device;
5 creating a content key by operating on the content key seed with a domain key;
encrypting the content with the content key;
encrypting the content key with the domain key; and
storing the encrypted content key and the encrypted content.

10 74. The computer readable medium of claim 73 further comprising instructions for:

receiving a content ID and usage information;
creating a voucher including the encrypted content key, the content ID, a domain
ID, and usage information.

15 75. The computer readable medium of claim 74 further comprising instructions for:

protecting at least part of the voucher using at least one of the following:
a cryptographic hashing function; or
a digital signature.

20 76. The article of manufacture of claim 73 where the act of operating on the content key
seed with a domain key is accomplished by encrypting the content key seed with the
domain key.

77. An article of manufacture comprising:

a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:

operating on a content key seed with a domain key;

5 78. The computer readable medium of claim 77 further comprising instructions for:

receiving a content ID and a domain ID;

using the content ID to determine the content key seed;

using the domain ID to determine the domain key.

10 79. The computer readable medium of claim 77 further comprising instructions for:

using a content ID to generate the content key seed.

80. An article of manufacture comprising:

a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:

15 receiving information about an unregistered authorized device and an

authorized domain;

transmitting certification from to add said authorized device to the authorized
domain as a registered device.

20 81. The computer readable medium of claim 80 further comprising instructions for:

contacting a third party to obtain the requirements of the authorized domain.

82. An article of manufacture comprising:

a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:

receiving a request to transfer content from a first authorized device to a second

authorized device;

5 contacting a trust management provider to verify the protection employed at the

second authorized device;

if trust management provides authorization, transferring content.

83. An article of manufacture comprising:

0 a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:

receiving requests to purchase usage rights for a piece of content;

distributing proceeds from the purchase.

84. The article of manufacture of claim 83 where more than one party is sent proceeds

.5 from the purchase.

85. The article of manufacture of claim 83 where a content provider is sent some portion

of the proceeds of the purchase..

20 86. The computer readable medium of claim 83 further comprising instructions for:

the trust management provider contacting the owner of the piece of content to

determine the terms of the purchase.

87. An article of manufacture comprising:

a computer readable medium comprising instructions for:

receiving a voucher from a second device;

computing a cryptographic hashing function over at least part of the voucher;

5 decrypting an encrypted hash value stored in the voucher with a public key of the second device;

comparing the computed hash value with the stored hash value.

88. The article of manufacture of claim 87 where if the computed hash value does not

0 equal the stored hash value, indicating that the voucher has been tampered with.

89. The article of manufacture of claim 87 where the act of indicating the voucher has

been tampered with includes making the content unusable.

15 90. An apparatus capable of protecting content comprising:

means for receiving content at said apparatus;

means for encrypting the content with a content key;

means for encrypting the content key with a domain key; and

means for storing the encrypted content key and the encrypted content.

20 91. An apparatus capable of protecting content comprising:

means for receiving content at said apparatus;

means for encrypting the content with a content key;

means for encrypting the content key with a domain key; and
means for storing a voucher associated with the content;
wherein the voucher includes the encrypted content key and a usage state
record.

5

92. The apparatus of claim 91 wherein the voucher also contains a domain traversal flag.

93. An apparatus for protecting content comprising:

means for receiving content at said apparatus;
means for receiving a usage state record associated with the content;
means for receiving a domain traversal flag associated with the content;
means for encrypting the content with a content key;
means for encrypting the content key with a device key if the usage state record
indicates that usage is not unrestricted;
means for encrypting the content key with a domain key if the domain traversal flag
indicates that domain traversal is forbidden; and
means for storing a voucher associated with the content;
wherein the voucher contains the encrypted content key, the usage state
record, and the domain traversal flag.

15

means for encrypting the content key with a domain key if the domain traversal flag
indicates that domain traversal is forbidden; and
means for storing a voucher associated with the content:

wherein the voucher contains the encrypted content key, the usage state
record, and the domain traversal flag.

20

94. The apparatus of claim 93 further comprising:

means for protecting at least part of the voucher using at least one of the
following:

a cryptographic hashing function; or
a digital signature.

95. An apparatus capable of moving protected content within an authorized domain

5 comprising:

means for transmitting encrypted content and a voucher associated with said encrypted content from said apparatus to a second device in the authorized domain; the voucher including an encrypted content key and a usage state record; means for rendering any vouchers associated with said encrypted content unusable.

10

96. The apparatus of claim 95 further comprising:

means for encrypting the entire voucher.

97. An apparatus capable of moving protected content to a target device in a different

15 authorized domain comprising:

means for checking a voucher associated with a piece of content; the voucher including an encrypted content key, a usage state record and a domain traversal flag;

means for decrypting the encrypted content key with a device key;

20 means for encrypting the decrypted content key with the public key of the target device;

means for replacing the original encrypted content key with the re-encrypted content key;

means for transmitting encrypted content and the amended voucher to the target device; and

means for rendering any vouchers associated with the content unusable.

5 98. The apparatus claim 97 where the device key used to decrypt the encrypted content key is a private key of the apparatus.

99. An apparatus for copying protected content within an authorized domain to a target device within said authorized domain comprising:

0 means for checking a usage state record contained in a voucher associated with a piece of encrypted content;

the voucher including a usage state record, and an encrypted content key;

means for decrypting the encrypted content key with a device key;

means for re-encrypting the decrypted content key with a public key of the target device;

means for updating the usage state record ;

means for storing the re-encrypted content key and the updated usage state record in re-targeted voucher; and

means for sending the encrypted content and the re-targeted voucher to the target device.

100. The apparatus of claim 99 where the device key used to decrypt the encrypted content key is a private key of the first device..

101. The apparatus of claim 99 wherein the usage state record contains a budget of
5 allowed copies and further comprising reducing the budget of allowed copies.

102. An apparatus capable of copying protected content to a target device in a second authorized domain comprising:

means for checking a usage state record contained in a voucher associated with a
0 piece of encrypted content, wherein the voucher also includes an encrypted content key;

means for decrypting the encrypted content key with a device key;

means for re-encrypting the decrypted content key with a public key from the target device;

5 means for updating the usage state;

means for storing the updated usage state and the re-encrypted content key in a re-targeted voucher; and

means for transmitting encrypted content and the re-targeted voucher to the target device.

20 103. The apparatus of claim 102 where the device key used to decrypt the encrypted content key is a private key of the first device.

104. The apparatus of claim 102 further comprising:

means for protecting at least part of the re-targeted voucher using at least one of the following:

a cryptographic hashing function; or

5 a digital signature.

105. The apparatus of claim 102 wherein the usage state record contains a budget of

allowed copies and further comprising:

means for reducing the budget of allowed copies.

0

106. An apparatus capable of identifying protected content while maintaining

backwards compatibility comprising:

means for receiving content;

means for checking if content is watermarked;

5 means for encrypting the content with a content key if the content is watermarked.

107. The apparatus of claim 106 further comprising:

means for receiving usage information and an associated content ID;

means for checking the watermark to see if a content ID contained therein matches the content ID associated with the usage information;

20 means for treating the content as completely restricted if content ID associated with the usage information does not match the content ID contained in the watermark.

108. The apparatus of claim 107 wherein the usage information includes a usage state record and a domain traversal flag.

109. The apparatus of claim 106 further comprising:

5 means for removing the watermark from the content.

110. The apparatus of claim 107 further comprising:

means removing the watermark from the content.

0 111. An apparatus for using protected content comprising:

means for decrypting an encrypted content key with a domain key;

means for decrypting an associated piece of content with the decrypted content key;

and

means for rendering the decrypted content.

15 112. The apparatus of claim 111 further comprising:

means for decrypting the encrypted content key with a private key.

113. An apparatus for protecting content comprising:

20 means for receiving content at said apparatus;

means for receiving a content key seed at the apparatus;

means for creating a content key by operating on the content key seed with a domain key;

means for encrypting the content with the content key;
means for encrypting the content key with the domain key; and
means for storing the encrypted content key and the encrypted content.

5 114. The apparatus of claim 113 further comprising:

means for receiving a content ID and usage information;
means for creating a voucher including the encrypted content key, the content ID, a
domain ID, and usage information.

0 115. The apparatus of claim 114 further comprising:

means for protecting at least part of the voucher using at least one of the following:
a cryptographic hashing function; or
a digital signature.

15 116. The apparatus of claim 113 where the means for operating on the content key seed
with a domain key is accomplished by encrypting the content key seed with the
domain key.

117. An apparatus for creating a content key comprising:

20 means for operating on a content key seed with a domain key;
means for receiving a content ID and a domain ID;
means for using the content ID to determine the content key seed;

means using the domain ID to determine the domain key.

118. The apparatus of claim 117 further comprising:

means for using a content ID to generate the content key seed.

5

119. An apparatus capable of registering an authorized device in an authorized domain comprising:

means for receiving information about the unregistered authorized device and the authorized domain to a trust management provider;

means for transmitting certification from the trust management provider to add said authorized device to the authorized domain as a registered device.

.0

120. An apparatus capable of checking the integrity of a voucher comprising:

means for receiving a voucher from a second device;

means for computing a cryptographic hashing function over at least part of the voucher;

means for decrypting an encrypted hash value stored in the voucher with a public key of the second device;

means for comparing the computed hash value with the stored hash value.

15
20

121. The apparatus of claim 120 further comprising:

means for indicating that the voucher has been tampered with.

122. The apparatus of claim 120 where the means for indicating the voucher has been tampered with includes making the content unusable.

Figure 1





Figure 2a



3/8

Figure 2b




Figure 3a




Figure 3b





Figure 4a



7/8

Figure 4b





Figure 5



(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
24 April 2003 (24.04.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2003/034408 A3

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: H04K 1/00

(21) International Application Number: PCT/IB2002/004261

(22) International Filing Date: 16 October 2002 (16.10.2002)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
09/982,573 18 October 2001 (18.10.2001) US

(71) Applicant: NOKIA CORPORATION [FI/FI]; Keilalahdentie 4, FIN-02150 Espoo (FI).

(71) Applicant (for LC only): NOKIA INC. [US/US]; 6000 Connection Drive, Irving, TX 75039 (US).

(72) Inventors: ALVE, Jukka; Ida Aalbergin tie 3 a I 14, FIN-00400 Helsinki (FI). CHIU, Peter, K.; 12-603 Mountain Laurels Drive, Nashua, NH 03062 (US). YAN, Zheng; Kirjanpitäjäntie 4A 8, FIN-02770 Espoo (FI).


(74) Agent: FILL, Peter, N.; Morgan & Finnegan, L.L.P., 345 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10154 (US).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CONTROLLED COPYING AND MOVING OF CONTENTS



(57) Abstract: A system and method is disclosed for allowing content providers (50) to protect against widespread copying of their content, while enabling them to give their customers more freedom in the way they use the content. In accordance with one embodiment, content providers (50) identify their content as protected by watermarking the content. Consumers use compliant devices to access protected content. All of a user's compliant devices, or all of a family's devices, can be organized into an authorized domain (40). This authorized domain (40) is used by content providers (50) to create a logical boundary in which they can allow users increased freedom to use their content.



Published:

— *with international search report*

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:

27 May 2004

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB02/04261

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : H04K 1/00
 US CL : 380/277, 201, 202, 231; 705/57, 58

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
 U.S. : 380/277, 201, 202, 231; 705/57, 58

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5,812,666 (BAKER et al.) 22 September 1998 (22.09.1998), Abstract, col2, lns 30-60,col 5, lns 37-54, col 6,lns 33-45, col 10 lns 47-67,col 11, lns 1-4, 48-63, col 8,lns 19-40, col 14, lns 28-56,col 15, lns 12-27,col 17, 37-63, col 18, lns 13-19	1-6, 28-36, 50-54, 71-79, 90-94, 111-118
X	US 5,265,164 (MATYAS et al.) 23 November 1993(23.11.1993), column 11, lns 38-67, col 12, ln 1, col 18, lns 22-60, col 37, lns 14-50	7-13,55-58 and 95-98
X,P	US 6,389,403 B1 (DORAK, Jr) 14 May 2002 (14.05.2002),Abstract column 9, lines 11-67, column 10, 1-20, column 9, lines 17-40, column 8, lines 18-31, column 12, lines 38-52.	14-22-59-66 and 99-105
X	US 6,266,299 B1 (OSHIMA et al.) 24 July 2001(24.07.2001), column 8, lines 15-58, column 25, lines 44-67, column 26, lines 1-16, column 37, lines 61-67, column 38, lines 1-25, lines 1-14, column 95, lines 5-52.	23-27,66-70 and 106-110
X	US 5,8625325 (REED et al) 19 January 1999(19.01.1999), column 24, lines 53-67, column 25, lines 1-14, column 95, lines 5-52.	37-39,80-81 and 119
X	US 6,112,181 (SHEAR et al.) 29 August 2000(29.08.2000), col 11, lines 15-43,col 68, lines 65-67, col. 69, lines 1-30.	40,41 and 82
X	US 6,112,181 (SHEAR et al.) 29 August 2000(29.08.2000), col 8,lines 56-67, col 9, lines 1-14, col 68, lines 65-67, col.69, lines 1-30.	42-46 and 83-86
X	US 5,748,738 (BISBEE et al.) 5 May 1998 (05.05.1998), col 4,lns 22-49,col 5, lns 56-67,col 6 lns 1-13, col 9,lns 1-33, 50-6.	47, 87-89 and 120-122

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

See patent family annex.

Special categories of cited documents:	
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

15 April 2003 (15.04.2003)

Date of mailing of the international search report

07 MAY 2003


Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
 Box PCT
 Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

Gilberto Barron



Telephone No. 703-305-3900

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/IB02/04261

BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, 28-36, 50-54, 71-79, 90-94, and 111-118, drawn to protecting content using an encryption key.

Group II, claim(s) 7-13, 55-58, and, 95-98 drawn to an unusable voucher.

Group III, claim(s) 14-22, 59-66, and, 99-105, drawn to a retargeted voucher.

Group IV, claim(s) 23-27, 66-70, and, 106-110 drawn to checking the watermarking.

Group V, claim(s) 37-39, 80-81, and, 119 drawn to registering an authorized device.

Group VI, claim(s) 40-41, and, 82 drawn to certifying transfer.

Group VII, claim(s) 42-46, and, 83-86, drawn to providing payment.

Group VIII, claim(s) 47, 87-89, and, 120-122 drawn to checking integrity.

The inventions listed as Groups I -VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical features of Group I invention of protecting content using an encryption key claimed therein. The special technical features of Group II invention are the unusable voucher claimed therein. The special technical features of Group III invention are the retargeted voucher claimed therein. The special technical features of Group IV invention of checking watermarking claimed therein. The special technical features of Group V invention of registering an authorized device claimed therein. The special technical features of Group VI invention of certifying transfers claimed therein. The special technical features of Group VII invention of providing payment claimed therein. The special technical features of Group VIII invention of checking integrity claimed therein. Since the special technical feature of Group I invention is not present in Group II through Group VIII invention being claimed and special technical feature of Group II through VIII is not present in Group I invention being claimed, unity of invention is lacking.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB02/04261

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sheet)

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. Claim Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. Claim Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

3. Claim Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

Please See Continuation Sheet

1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:

4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.